

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: G Stage: 1 Problem no.: _____

Juror: C. Zayed Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not** just **superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

-1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

-0.5

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

-0.5

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

0

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

0

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

0

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

0

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: G Stage: 1 Problem no.: 15
 Juror: Helmut Klein Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Russia

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

-0.5

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

-0.5

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

4

Opp.: Thailand

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Poland

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

+1

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: G Stage: 1 Problem no.: 17, 15

Juror: Feng Song Signature: Feng Song

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Russia 5
Vyacheslav

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Thailand 5
Pichayaporn

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Poland 5
Mateusz

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: G Stage: 1 Problem no.: 15

Juror: Mattias Andersson Signature: MA.

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories** and **principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

4

Rev.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: 6 Stage: 1 Problem no.: _____

Juror: Tan Jun Signature: tan jun

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: G Stage: 1 Problem no.: 15

Juror: Xuewei Cao Signature: Xuewei Cao

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

4

Rev.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: 6 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 1

Juror: E. RADN Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: 6 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 1

Juror: Reinhold Weiss Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: 6 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 1

Juror: Feng Song Signature: Feng Song

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather and record data** (or **demonstrate the phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Thailand 5
Anyanee -1

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: Poland 5
Mateusz +0.5

Physics ± 3 +0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Russian 5
Pavel -0.5

Physics ± 3 -0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: G Stage: 2 Problem no.: 1
 Juror: Mattias Andersson Signature: MA

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable experimental technique to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- 2
- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
 - ☐ Scientific approach
 - ☐ Validity of conclusions
 - ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

-
- ☐ Clear and understandable
 - ☐ Appropriate manner
 - ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- 1
- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
 - ☐ Structure
 - ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
 - ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
 - ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
 - ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
 - ☐ Novelty of the report
 - ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

2

Opp.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3

- +1.5
- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
 - ☐ Scientific approach
 - ☐ Validity of conclusions
 - ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

-
- ☐ Clear and understandable
 - ☐ Appropriate manner
 - ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- +0.5
- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
 - ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
 - ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
 - ☒ Relevance of the questions
 - ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3

- +0.5
- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
 - ☐ Scientific approach
 - ☐ Validity of conclusions
 - ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- 0.5
- ☐ Clear and understandable
 - ☐ Appropriate manner
 - ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

-
- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
 - ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
 - ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
 - ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 2 Problem no.: 1
 Juror: Tan Jing Signature: TCG

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Thailand 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Poland 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Russia 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: G Stage: 2 Problem no.: 1

Juror: Xuewei Cao Signature: Xuewei Cao

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

4

Rev.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: 4 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 3
 Juror: K. ZADKO Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: G Stage: 3 Problem no.: 3

Juror: Hermann Klein Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: 6 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 3

Juror: Feng Song Signature: Feng Song

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Poland 5
Katarzyna -1
Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Russia 5
Alexey +1
Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Thailand 5
Phatchamon +1
Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: G Stage: 3 Problem no.: 3

Juror: Mattias Andersson Signature: MA

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach *Diff exp*
- ☐ Validity of conclusions *but*
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 3 Problem no.: 3
 Juror: Tan Joo Signature: tan

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

-1.5

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+0.5

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

-0.5

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

+0.5

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+0.5

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

+0.5

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: 6 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 3
 Juror: Xuewei Cao Signature: Xuewei Cao

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

5