

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: 3 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 1, 2, 4

Juror: KABELKA Signature: Kubler

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable experimental technique to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: KOREA

Jeohyun Kim

5

Physics ±3

3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

9

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: CHINESE TAIPEI

Yi-Hsiang Shyu

5

Physics ±3

3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

1

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: France

Alexander Balcerac

5

Physics ±3

3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

8

Final Grade: Reviewer

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: 3 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 4
 Juror: Bühler Signature: Bühler

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Korea 5

Physics ±3

+3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

+1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

9

Opp.: Chinese Taipei 5

Physics ±3

+3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

+1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

9

Rev.: France 5

Physics ±3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fluid Bridge

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 1 Problem no.: 4
Juror: Kent Hogan Signature: Kent Hogan

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable experimental technique to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Korea
(Jecheon Kim)

5

Physics ± 3

+2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

+1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

8

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: Chinese Taipei
(Yi-Hsiang Shyu)

5

Physics ± 3

+2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

7

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: France
(Alexander Bulcerac)

5

Physics ± 3

~~5~~ +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

~~5~~ -1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

5

Final Grade: Reviewer

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 1 Problem no.: 4

Juror: Byland Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Korea 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

8

Opp.: Chinese Taipei 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

+

Rev.: France 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 1 Problem no.: 4
 Juror: TOMÁŠ BZDUŠEK Signature: Tm Bzd

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: KOREA 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

8

Opp.: CH. TAIPEI 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

8

Rev.: FRANCE 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 1 Problem no.: 4

Juror: STANISLAV PANDŮ Signature: Pam - L

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: KOREA 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

9

Opp.: CH. TAIPEI 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

9

Rev.: FRANCE 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 2 Problem no.: 16

Juror: KABELKA Signature: Kabelka

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Chinese Taipei 5
Yen-Yu Lin

Physics ± 3 2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

7

Opp.: France 5
Nicolas Bellutian

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Korea 5
Jihyun Kim

Physics ± 3 2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 2 Problem no.: 16

Juror: Bühner Signature: Bu

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Chine
tao pei 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+2

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

+1

Opp.: France 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

-1

Rev.: Korea 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+2

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+1

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

-1

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

Scoring Guidelines

Kising Bubble

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 2 Problem no.: 16
 Juror: Kent Hogan Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable experimental technique to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not** just **superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Chinese Taipei

(Yen-Yu Lin)

5

Physics ±3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

+1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

-1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: France

(Nicolas Belluteau)

5

Physics ±3

0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

-1/2

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Korea

(Jihyun Kim)

5

Physics ±3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

-1/2

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

+1/2

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 2 Problem no.: 16

Juror: Byland Signature: Byland

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Chinese Taipei 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: France 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

4

Rev.: Korea 5

Physics ±3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 2 Problem no.: 16
 Juror: Tomáš BZDUŠEK Signature: Tom BZD

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application of appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather and record data** (or **demonstrate the phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: CHINESE TAIPEI

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

6

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: FRANCE

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

4

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: KOREA

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

7

Final Grade: Reviewer

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 2 Problem no.: 16

Juror: STALISCAU PAVOS Signature: Pav-L

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: CH. TAIPEI 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: FRANCE 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: KOREA 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

9

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 3 Problem no.: 15

Juror: KABELKA Signature: Kabelka

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: France 5

Samuel Colin

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

0

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

0

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Korea 5

Jaewon Ch

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

1

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

1

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Chinese Taipei 5

Young-Hsu

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

1

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

1

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: 3 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 15

Juror: Bühler Signature: Bu

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: France 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+1

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

-1

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: Korea 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+1

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

0

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Chinese Taipei 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+1

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

+1

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 3 Problem no.: 15
 Juror: Kent Hogan Signature: Kent Hogan

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather and record data** (or **demonstrate the phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: France 5
 (Samuel Colin)

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols *LOTS of variables not defined*
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate *Resolution wrong - cuts off RHS.*
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: Korea 5
 (Jaewon Oh)

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the **strong and weak points** of the report
- ☐ Finds **shortcomings or errors** in the report *Missed some*
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Chinese Taipei 5
 (Young-Hsu)

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 3 Problem no.: 15

Juror: By land Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: France 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

-1/2

Reporter ± 1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

0

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: Korea 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Opponent ± 1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

0

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Taipei 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

0

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Reviewer ± 1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

+1/2

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 3 Problem no.: 15
 Juror: Tomáš BZDUŠEK Signature: T. Bzd

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: FRANCE

5

Physics ± 3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

-5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

+5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: KOREA

5

Physics ± 3

+2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

+5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

+5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

8

Rev.: CHINESE TAIPEI

5

Physics ± 3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

+5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

+5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: B Stage: 3 Problem no.: 15

Juror: STANISLAV PANOS Signature: Panos

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: FRANCE

5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: KOREA

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

8

Rev.: CH. TAIPEI

5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

8