

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 1 Problem no.: 9  
 Juror: KUNDRACIK Signature: Kundracik

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: NEW ZEALAND

5

### Physics $\pm 3$

0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

-0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reporter $\pm 1$

+0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: IRAN

5

### Physics $\pm 3$

1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent $\pm 1$

1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

2

Rev.: AUSTRALIA

5

### Physics $\pm 3$

0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer $\pm 1$

1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

2

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 1 Problem no.: 9

Juror: Carsten Gedde Signature: Sellu

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: New Zealand 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reporter $\pm 1$

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Iran 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Opponent $\pm 1$

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Australia 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Reviewer $\pm 1$

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 1 Problem no.: 9  
 Juror: Roh Copuder Signature: [Signature]

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather and record data** (or **demonstrate the phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Pow Edward 5

### Physics ±3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Joan 5

### Physics ±3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

8

Rev.: Australia 5

### Physics ±3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer ±1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 1 Problem no.: 9  
 Juror: Kim Freimann Signature: [Signature]

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: New Zealand

5

### Physics ±3

0

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

0

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reporter ±1

-1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Iran

5

### Physics ±3

+1

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent ±1

-1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: \_\_\_\_\_

5

### Physics ±3

+1

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

-1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer ±1

0

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 1 Problem no.: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Juror: Lobyshev Signature: [Signature]

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: \_\_\_\_\_ 5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+1

### Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

7

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: \_\_\_\_\_ 5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

+1

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: \_\_\_\_\_ 5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

+2

Final Grade: Reviewer

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

8

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 1 Problem no.: 9

Juror: DAGMAR ZANDŠEVA' Signature: Phu

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: New Zealand

5

Opp.: Iran

5

Rev.: Australia

5

### Physics ±3

+1/2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

+1/4

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reporter ±1

+1/4

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

### Physics ±3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

+1/4

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent ±1

+1/2

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

### Physics ±3

+3/4

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

+1/4

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer ±1

0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 2 Problem no.: 11

Juror: KUNDRAEIK Signature: Kundraeik

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: IRAN 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reporter $\pm 1$

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

+

Opp.: AUSTRALIA 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent $\pm 1$

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: NIGERIA 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer $\pm 1$

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

5



# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 2 Problem no.: 11  
 Juror: Carsten Geckeler Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Iran 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Reporter $\pm 1$

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report ?
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: Australia 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Opponent $\pm 1$

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Nigeria 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach/
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ (Accurate answers)

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Reviewer $\pm 1$

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please  
**do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

7



# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 2 Problem no.: 11  
 Juror: Idi Capuon Signature: Caf

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Indo 5

### Physics ±3

- +15
- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
  - ☐ Scientific approach
  - ☐ Validity of conclusions
  - ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- 0 40%
- ☐ Clear and understandable
  - ☐ Appropriate manner
  - ☐ Overall impression

### Reporter ±1

- 0.5
- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
  - ☒ Structure
  - ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
  - ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
  - ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
  - ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
  - ☐ Novelty of the report
  - ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

7

Opp.: Australia 5

### Physics ±3

- 1
- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
  - ☒ Scientific approach
  - ☒ Validity of conclusions
  - ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- 0
- ☐ Clear and understandable
  - ☐ Appropriate manner
  - ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent ±1

- 1
- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
  - ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
  - ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
  - ☐ Relevance of the questions
  - ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Vigeria 5

### Physics ±3

- 0.5
- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
  - ☐ Scientific approach
  - ☐ Validity of conclusions
  - ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- 0
- ☐ Clear and understandable
  - ☐ Appropriate manner
  - ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer ±1

- 0.5
- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
  - ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
  - ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
  - ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 2 Problem no.: 11  
 Juror: Kim Freimann Signature: [Signature]

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application of appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable experimental technique to **gather and record data** (or **demonstrate the phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Iran 5

### Physics ±3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reporter ±1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

8

Opp.: Australia 5

### Physics ±3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

9

Rev.: Nigeria 5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

3

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 2 Problem no.:   
 Juror: Lobyshev Signature: [Signature]

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Iran 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reporter $\pm 1$

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

8

Opp.: Australia 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent $\pm 1$

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Nigeria 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer $\pm 1$

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 2 Problem no.: 11  
 Juror: DAGMAR PANDOVA Signature: Pau.

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable experimental technique to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Iran 5

### Physics ±3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Reporter ±1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

9

Opp.: Australia 5

### Physics ±3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent ±1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Nigeria 5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 3 Problem no.: 8  
 Juror: KUNDARIK Signature: Unicef

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable experimental technique to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: AUSTRALIA 5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: NIGERIA 5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

3

Rev.: NEW ZEALAND 5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please  
**do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 3 Problem no.: 8

Juror: Carsten Gedieler Signature: Gedieler

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable experimental technique to **gather and record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Australia 5

### Physics ±3

- 1
- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
  - ☐ Scientific approach
  - ☐ Validity of conclusions
  - ☒ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- 0
- ☐ Clear and understandable
  - ☒ Appropriate manner
  - ☒ Overall impression

### Reporter ±1

- 1/2
- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
  - ☐ Structure
  - ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
  - ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
  - ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
  - ☐ (References, proper citations of) ideas and input of others
  - ☐ Novelty of the report
  - ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Nigeria 5

### Physics ±3

- 1
- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
  - ☐ Scientific approach
  - ☐ Validity of conclusions
  - ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- 1/2
- ☐ Clear and understandable
  - ☒ Appropriate manner
  - ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent ±1

- 0
- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
  - ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
  - ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
  - ☒ Relevance of the questions
  - ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

3

Rev.: New Zealand 5

### Physics ±3

- +1
- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
  - ☒ Scientific approach
  - ☒ Validity of conclusions
  - ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- +1/2
- ☒ Clear and understandable
  - ☒ Appropriate manner
  - ☒ Overall impression

### Reviewer ±1

- +1
- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
  - ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
  - ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
  - ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 3 Problem no.: 8  
 Juror: Rolf Capuder Signature: Cope

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather and record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Australia 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Reporter $\pm 1$

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: Nigeria 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Opponent $\pm 1$

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

4

Rev.: New Zealand 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer $\pm 1$

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

7



# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 3 Problem no.: 8  
 Juror: Kim Freimann Signature: [Signature]

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather and record data** (or **demonstrate the phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Australia 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Reporter $\pm 1$

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Nigeria 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Opponent $\pm 1$

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

4

Rev.: New Zealand 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Reviewer $\pm 1$

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 3 Problem no.: 8  
 Juror: Lobyshev Signature: Alex

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Austolia 5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Nigeria 5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

3

Rev.: New Zealand 5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please  
**do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 3 Problem no.: 8  
 Juror: DAGMAR TANOSOVA Signature: Pau.

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions to add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Australia 5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

0

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+1/4

### Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

+1/4

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Nigeria 5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

-1

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

### Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

-1

Final Grade: Opponent

3

Rev.: New Zealand 5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1/4

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

### Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

+3/4

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 4 Problem no.: 6  
 Juror: KONDRACIL Signature: Kondracil

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather and record data** (or **demonstrate the phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent and reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: NIGERIA

5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

1

Opp.: NEW ZEALAND

5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: IRAN

5

### Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please  
**do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 4 Problem no.: 16  
 Juror: Carsten Gecheler Signature: Gednee

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather and record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Nigeria 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

-2

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics  
☐ Scientific approach  
☒ Validity of conclusions  
☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

$-\frac{1}{2}$

- ☐ Clear and understandable  
☒ Appropriate manner  
☐ Overall impression

### Reporter $\pm 1$

-1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)  
☒ Structure  
☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols  
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions  
☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate  
☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others  
☐ Novelty of the report  
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

2

Opp.: New Zealand 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

+1

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics  
☐ Scientific approach  
☐ Validity of conclusions  
☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

+1

- ☒ Clear and understandable  
☒ Appropriate manner  
☒ Overall impression

### Opponent $\pm 1$

+1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution  
☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report  
☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report  
☒ Relevance of the questions  
☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

8

Rev.: Iran 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics  
☐ Scientific approach  
☐ Validity of conclusions  
☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

+1

- ☒ Clear and understandable  
☒ Appropriate manner  
☒ Overall impression

### Reviewer $\pm 1$

$+\frac{1}{2}$

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion  
☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed  
☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent  
☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 4 Problem no.: 16  
 Juror: Rob Capuder Signature: [Signature]

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Pigevia 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reporter $\pm 1$

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

2

Opp.: New Zealand 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent $\pm 1$

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

8

Rev.: Bron 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Reviewer $\pm 1$

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 4 Problem no.: 16  
 Juror: Kim Freimann Signature: [Signature]

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather and record data** (or **demonstrate the phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Nigeria 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Reporter $\pm 1$

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

2

Opp.: New Zealand 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Opponent $\pm 1$

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

4

Rev.: Iran 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer $\pm 1$

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

4



# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 4 Problem no.: 16  
 Juror: Lobyshev Signature: Blue

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable experimental technique to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories** and **principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Nigeria 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

-2

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reporter $\pm 1$

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: New Zealand 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

-1

### Opponent $\pm 1$

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Iran 5

### Physics $\pm 3$

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

### Presentation $\pm 1$

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reviewer $\pm 1$

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

+1

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

# Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 5 Room: A Stage: 4 Problem no.: 16  
 Juror: DAGMAR PANOSOVA Signature: Mu.

## A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable experimental technique to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

## An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

## A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Nigeria

5

### Physics ±3

-2

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

-1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Reporter ±1

-1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

1

Opp.: New Zealand

5

### Physics ±3

-1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

### Opponent ±1

0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

4

Rev.: Iran

5

### Physics ±3

0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

### Presentation ±1

+1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

### Reviewer ±1

+1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

7