

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: PETER ZEVEI Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: BELARUS

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

-1

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: SWITZERLAND

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

0

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: SINGAPORE

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

0

Final Grade: Reviewer

2

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 8
 Junor: 1 luo Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Belarus

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Switzerland

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Singapore

5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

revised 15.

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 8
 Juror: SUE NAIER Signature: S. Naiser

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: PERAPUS
ANASTAS 5
POMATLO

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 3

Opp.: SWITZERLAND
PHYLLIS 5
BARTH

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 -1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 4

Rev.: SINGAPORE
SHEN YU 5
JUN.

Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 8

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 8
 Juror: RUNDRAK Signature: Uday

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: BEJARUS

5

Physics ± 3

+0.5

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

-0.5

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: SWITZERLAND

5

Physics ± 3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

0

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: SINGAPORE

5

Physics ± 3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

+0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

+0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 3 Problem no.: _____
 Juror: Bernat Hoffmann Signature: hs 2a

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Belarus 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Switzerland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Singapore 5

Physics ± 3 +0.5

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 8
 Juror: REEMER Signature: Reemer

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Bolivia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 4

Opp.: Switzerland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: Singapore 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 9

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 7

Juror: PETER JEWEL Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: SINGAPORE

5

Physics ± 3

2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

9

Opp.: BELARUS

5

Physics ± 3

1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: SWITZERLAND

5

Physics ± 3

1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 7
 Juror: Uma Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Singapore 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

8

Opp.: Belarus 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Switzerland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 7 (Shaded pole)
 Juror: Ernst Muttarakasimich Signature: hrt 25

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Singapore 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 8

Opp.: Belarus 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Switzerland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 6 Room: 1 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 7
 Juror: REARER Signature: Wen

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Singapore 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 8

Opp.: Belarus 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Switzerland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 7
 Juror: SUE NAPIER Signature: S. Napier

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: KOH 5
J. J. M. M.

Physics ± 3 12

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s) Minimal change of parameters
- ☐ Structure Answer
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 7

Opp.: ANTON 5
RUSANOV

Physics ± 3 12

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 12

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: LIUBA 5
HEMBACH

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 12

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 12

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 7
 Juror: KONDRAK Signature: Uudering

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: SINGAPORE 5

Physics ± 3 +3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 9

Opp.: UZBEKISTAN 5

Physics ± 3 +2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 8

Rev.: SWITZERLAND 5

Physics ± 3 +2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: KUNDRAR Signature: Ueera

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: SLOVENIA 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: SINGAPORE 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: BELARUS 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 9 (Homer)
 Juror: Bernat Mathewkovich Signature: h-25

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Switzerland 5

Physics ± 3 -0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: Singapore 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Belarus 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: REANER Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Switzerland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Singapore 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Belarus 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: SUE MARIER Signature: S. Marier

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: S. MARIER
ROSENTHAL 5

Physics ± 3 +2
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +2
☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☐ Structure
☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols *and yr*
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☐ Novelty of the report
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: S. MARIER
HEINS 5

Physics ± 3 0
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers *same errors*

Presentation ± 1 +1
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression *more over*

Opponent ± 1 +2
☐ Response to the reporter's solution
☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☐ Relevance of the questions
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: S. MARIER
BARANOV 5

Physics ± 3 0
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +2
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +2
☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 9

Juror: PETER JENEI Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: SWITZERLAND 5

Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: SINGAPORE 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: BELARUS 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: Ums Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Switzerland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: Singapore 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Berarus 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the light assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Round: 4 Room: 1 Fight Assistants: Mr. Komsan Papanay, Mr. Thanundon Kongnok

Team	Stage 1			Stage 2			Stage 3			Stage 4		
	Switzerland	Singapore	Belarus	Singapore	Belarus	Switzerland	Belarus	Switzerland	Singapore	Rep	Opp	Rev
Juror	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev
František Kandravík (Chair)	5	7	7	9	8	7	5	6	7			
Bonrat Wattanasakwich	6	7	7	8	6	6	5	6	7			
Ulrike Regner	5	6	6	8	7	5	4	5	9			
Susan Napier	7	6	6	7	6	6	3	4	8			
Peter Jenei	6	6	7	8	6	6	3	5	7			
Uno Uno	6	7	7	8	7	6	4	6	7			
Acting Team Member	Michael Fogenmeyer	Jun Hong Lim	Dmitry Baranov	Jim Ming Lo	Anton Putsanov	Loba Heinbockel	Anastasiya Podnato	Phyllis Berth	Ye Jun Shen			
Rejected Problems							15 Moving Brush					
Accepted Problem	9 Housecraft			7 Shaded Pole			8 Sugar and Salt					

Signature Fight Assistant: David Papanay Double-checked with Scoring Guidelines by second Fight Assistant (signature): 27/10/25

Challenge problem 13 Magnetic pendulum accepted

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 2 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 13
 Juror: G. Jennings Signature: _____

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Macao 5
Chou Hou In -2

Physics ± 3 -2
☒ Correct and relevant physics
☒ Scientific approach
☒ Validity of conclusions
☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1/2
☒ Clear and understandable
☒ Appropriate manner
☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1/2
☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☒ Structure
☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☒ Novelty of the report
☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

2

Opp.: USA 5
John Lukowicz -1

Physics ± 3 -1
☒ Correct and relevant physics
☒ Scientific approach
☒ Validity of conclusions
☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1/2
☒ Clear and understandable
☒ Appropriate manner
☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 -1/2
☒ Response to the reporter's solution
☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☒ Relevance of the questions
☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

4

Rev.: Hungary 5
Andras Lauko 1/2

Physics ± 3 1/2
☒ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 1/2
☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): H Room: 2 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 13

Juror: PENG PAN Signature: T. Pengpan

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: MO 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: US 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 1.0

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: HU 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0.5

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 2 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 13
 Juror: L. Grottel Signature: L. Grottel

A report should include:

• a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem • an explanation of the observed phenomena • an application of appropriate mathematics • reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate) • linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions • an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

• the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles • the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented • the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

• the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent • the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones) • the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations) • such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Mexico

Chau Han In

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

-3

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

0.25

Final Grade: Reporter

2

Opp.: USA

John Lukow

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

-2

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0.25

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

0.5

Final Grade: Opponent

4

Rev.: Hungary

Andreas Bausch

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

0

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0.5

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

0.5

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): #4 Room: 2 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 13
 Juror: R. Reichle Signature: R. Reichle

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Macao 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 2

Opp.: US 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 -1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 4

Rev.: Hungary 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 2 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 13
 Juror: Yung-Yuan Hsu Signature: Yung-Yuan Hsu

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Chou Hui In 5
Macao

Physics ± 3 -1.5
☒ Correct and relevant physics
☒ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1/2
☒ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0
☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☐ Structure
☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☐ Novelty of the report
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: John Lukowski 5
United States

Physics ± 3 0
☒ Correct and relevant physics
☒ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0
☒ Response to the reporter's solution
☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☐ Relevance of the questions
☐ Contribution to the discussion
questions but no deeping in discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: Andras Lauko 5
Hungary

Physics ± 3 1/2
☒ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 1/2
☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 2 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 13
 Juror: Shchetnikov Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Macao

5

Physics ± 3

+0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

+0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: USA

5

Physics ± 3

-0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

-0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

-0.5

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

3

Rev.: Hungary

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Challenge problem number 9 Hoverscraft

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 2 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: G. Jennings Signature: G. Jennings

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: USA 5

Andrew Mangabart

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.2

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.5

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Hungary 5

Tina Banerjee

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 -0.3

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Macao 5

Junggi Xie

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 2 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: PENOPAN Signature: T. Pengpan

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: US 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0.5

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 9

Opp.: HU 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: MG 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 2 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: L. Gloderuk Signature: L. Gloderuk

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: USA 5

Physics ± 3 -2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 .5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 3

Opp.: Hungary 5

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.75

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: Macau 5

Physics ± 3 -.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 .5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): #4 Room: 2 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: P. Reichle Signature: P. Reichle

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: USA 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: Hungary 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

4

Rev.: Macao 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 2 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 9
 Junor: Yung-Yuan Hsu Signature: Yung-Yuan Hsu

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Andrew Mangabat
United States

5

Physics ± 3

-1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

1/3

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

1/3

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: Tina Barozské
Hungary

5

Physics ± 3

5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Some Good Questions.
but Not deep in discuss.

Final Grade: Opponent

4

Rev.: Jingyi Xie
Macau

5

Physics ± 3

0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 2 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: Shchetnikov Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: USA 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: Hungary 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: Macao 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Challenge problem #5 accepted
Two balloons

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 2 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 5

Juror: G. Jennings Signature: G. Jennings

Scoring Guidelines

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Hungary
Andras Lauko

5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

+1.5

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

+0.3

Reporter ± 1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

+0.3

Final Grade: Reporter

7

Opp.: Macao
Jingy Xie

5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

+0.2

Opponent ± 1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

-0.2

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: USA
John Lukowski

5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

+1.2

Reviewer ± 1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

+1.2

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 2 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 5

Juror: TENG PAN Signature: T. Pengpan

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: HU 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 7

Opp.: MO 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 -

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: US 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 2 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 5
 Juror: L. Graderuh Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Hungary 5

Physics ± 3 1
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 1
☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☐ Structure
☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☐ Novelty of the report
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 2

Opp.: Mexico 5

Physics ± 3 0
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.5
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0.5
☐ Response to the reporter's solution
☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☐ Relevance of the questions
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: USA 5

Physics ± 3 0
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.5
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0.5
☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): #4 Room: 2 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 5
 Juror: P. Reichle Signature: P. Reichle

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Hungary 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 7

Opp.: Maran 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: USA 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 2 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 4
 Juror: Yung-Yuan Hsu Signature: Yung-Yuan Hsu

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: András Lauko

5

Physics ±3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4.5

Opp.: Jingyi Xie

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: John Lukowski

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 2 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 5
 Juror: Shchetnikov Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Hungary 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 8

Opp.: Macao 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: USA 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Round: 4 Room: 7 Fight Assistants: Kumakorn Adomong / Jimporn Saenjae

Team	Stage 1			Stage 2			Stage 3			Stage 4		
	Macao	USA	Hungary	USA	Hungary	Macao	Hungary	Macao	USA	Rep	Opp	Rev
Juror	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev
Gavin Jennings	2	4	6	4	5	5	7	6	7			
Teparksom Pengpan	6	7	6	7	6	7	7	5	7			
Leszek Gladczuk	2	4	6	3	5	5	8	6	6			
Rainer Reichle	2	4	5	3	4	6	7	5	5			
Yung-Yuan Hsu	3	5	6	3	4	5	4	7	7			
Andrey Shchetnikov	6	3	5	4	4	4	8	7	6			
Acting Team Member	Chou Hau In	John Lukowski	András Laukó	Andrew Mangabat	Tina Banócki	Tingyi Xie	András Laukó	Tingyi Xie	John Lukowski			
Rejected Problems												
Accepted Problem	13			9			5					

Signature Fight Assistant: K. Adomong Double-checked with Scoring Guidelines by second Fight Assistant (signature): Jimporn Saenjae

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 3

Juror: TATIANA G S Signature: TGS

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: CZECH REPUBLIC
PAVEL KUCHLA

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

-0.5

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Reporter ±1

-0.5

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

4

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: AUSTRIA
CHRISTOPH WITZ

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

0

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Opponent ±1

0

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

5

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: GERMANY
JONAS LANGSCHE

5

Physics ±3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics + 0.25
- ☒ Scientific approach + 0.25
- ☒ Validity of conclusions + 0.25
- ☐ Accurate answers

0.75

Presentation ±1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0.25

Reviewer ±1

+0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

7

Final Grade: Reviewer

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 1 Problem no.: _____
 Juror: Labysh Signature: Paul

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Czech Rep 5

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 3

Opp.: Australia 5

Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 3
 Juror: Chuan Yong Li Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Czech 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Australia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 3
 Juror: Astelsky Signature: B. P.

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Gech Rep 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Australia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0.5

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 3
 Juror: J. BALCOMB Signature: HL Br

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: GERMANY

5

Physics ±3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

-1

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

0

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: AUSTRIA

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

0

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

+0.5

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: GERMANY

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

0

Presentation ±1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+0.5

Reviewer ±1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

+0.5

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 13 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 3
 Juror: CHAINBOT Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three beams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Czech
Daniel Rychly 5

Physics ± 3 0
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0
☐ Clear and understandable
☒ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 1
☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☐ Structure
☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☐ Novelty of the report
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: Australia
Elizabeth 5

Physics ± 3 0
☒ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1
☒ Clear and understandable
☒ Appropriate manner
☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 1
☒ Response to the reporter's solution
☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☒ Relevance of the questions
☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Germany
5

Physics ± 3 0
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0
☐ Clear and understandable
☒ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 1
☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 17

Juror: TRISTANA G.S Signature: TGS

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: AUSTRIA 5
Shabeta Cox

Physics ± 3 -1.25

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics -0.25
- ☒ Scientific approach -0.25
- ☒ Validity of conclusions -0.5
- ☒ Accurate answers -0.25

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.25

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s) -0.25
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols -0.25
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 3

Opp.: GERMANY 5
Ann - Kathryn Koch

Physics ± 3 -0.5

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: CZECH REPUBLIC 5
Paula Borecká

Physics ± 3 +0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 17
 Juror: Lokyshev Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Australia 5

Physics ± 3 -2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 3

Opp.: German 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: Czech 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 17
 Juror: ChuanYong Li Signature: ChuanYong Li

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.:	Opp.:	Rev.:
5	5	5
Physics ± 3	Physics ± 3	Physics ± 3
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Correct and relevant physics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Correct and relevant physics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Correct and relevant physics
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scientific approach	<input type="checkbox"/> Scientific approach	<input type="checkbox"/> Scientific approach
<input type="checkbox"/> Validity of conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/> Validity of conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/> Validity of conclusions
<input type="checkbox"/> Accurate answers	<input type="checkbox"/> Accurate answers	<input type="checkbox"/> Accurate answers
Presentation ± 1	Presentation ± 1	Presentation ± 1
<input type="checkbox"/> Clear and understandable	<input type="checkbox"/> Clear and understandable	<input type="checkbox"/> Clear and understandable
<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate manner	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate manner	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate manner
<input type="checkbox"/> Overall impression	<input type="checkbox"/> Overall impression	<input type="checkbox"/> Overall impression
Reporter ± 1	Opponent ± 1	Reviewer ± 1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quality of experimental technique(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Response to the reporter's solution	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
<input type="checkbox"/> Structure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shows the strong and weak points of the report	<input type="checkbox"/> Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Explanation of formulae and symbols	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Finds shortcomings or errors in the report	<input type="checkbox"/> Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions	<input type="checkbox"/> Relevance of the questions	<input type="checkbox"/> Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent
<input type="checkbox"/> Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate	<input type="checkbox"/> Contribution to the discussion	
<input type="checkbox"/> References, proper citations of ideas and input of others		
<input type="checkbox"/> Novelty of the report		
<input type="checkbox"/> Contribution to the discussion		
Final Grade: Reporter	Final Grade: Opponent	Final Grade: Reviewer
6	7	6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 17
 Juror: Ashty nski Signature: B.R.

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Australia 5

Physics ± 3 -0.5
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☒ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.5
☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☐ Structure
☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☒ Novelty of the report
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3 0
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☒ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0
☒ Response to the reporter's solution
☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☐ Relevance of the questions
☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: Czech Rep. 5

Physics ± 3 0
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0
☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 17
 Juror: CHAINWOT Signature: FEQD

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Australia
Elizabeth 5

Physics ± 3 0
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 1
☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☐ Structure
☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☐ Novelty of the report
☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: Germany
Ann-Kathrin 5

Physics ± 3 0
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 1
☒ Response to the reporter's solution
☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☒ Relevance of the questions
☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Czech
PAULA 5

Physics ± 3 0
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 1
☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 17
 Juror: J. Smrcomble Signature: HLB

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Australia 5

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 4.5 5

Opp.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5.5 6

Rev.: Czech Rep 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 6
 Juror: Lobyshev Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Germ. 5

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 4

Opp.: Bech 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: Austral 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +2

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 6

Juror: TATYANA G.S. Signature: TGS

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: GERMANY 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach $+0.25$
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers -0.25

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s) $+0.2$
- ☒ Structure $+0.2$
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols -0.2
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions -0.1
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate $+0.2$
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others -0.1
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: CZECH REPUBLIC 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics $+0.25$
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers -0.15

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0.25

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution -0.25
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report $+0.25$
- ☒ Relevance of the questions $+0.25$
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: AUSTRIA 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics $+0.5$
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed $+0.25$
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent $+0.25$
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 6
 Juror: Chuan Yong Li Signature: Chuan Yong Li

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: <u>Germany</u>	Opp.: <u>Czech</u>	Rev.: <u>Australia</u>
5	5	5
Physics ± 3 <u>+1</u>	Physics ± 3 <u>+0.5</u>	Physics ± 3 <u>+0.5</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Correct and relevant physics <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scientific approach <input type="checkbox"/> Validity of conclusions <input type="checkbox"/> Accurate answers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Correct and relevant physics <input type="checkbox"/> Scientific approach <input type="checkbox"/> Validity of conclusions <input type="checkbox"/> Accurate answers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Correct and relevant physics <input type="checkbox"/> Scientific approach <input type="checkbox"/> Validity of conclusions <input type="checkbox"/> Accurate answers
Presentation ± 1 <u>+0.5</u>	Presentation ± 1 <u>0</u>	Presentation ± 1 <u>0</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Clear and understandable <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriate manner <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Overall impression	<input type="checkbox"/> Clear and understandable <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate manner <input type="checkbox"/> Overall impression	<input type="checkbox"/> Clear and understandable <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate manner <input type="checkbox"/> Overall impression
Reporter ± 1 <u>+0.5</u>	Opponent ± 1 <u>+0.5</u>	Reviewer ± 1 <u>+0.5</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quality of experimental technique(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Structure <input type="checkbox"/> Explanation of formulae and symbols <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> References, proper citations of ideas and input of others <input type="checkbox"/> Novelty of the report <input type="checkbox"/> Contribution to the discussion	<input type="checkbox"/> Response to the reporter's solution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shows the strong and weak points of the report <input type="checkbox"/> Finds shortcomings or errors in the report <input type="checkbox"/> Relevance of the questions <input type="checkbox"/> Contribution to the discussion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provides a thorough review of report and discussion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed <input type="checkbox"/> Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent <input type="checkbox"/> Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent
Final Grade: Reporter <u>7</u>	Final Grade: Opponent <u>6</u>	Final Grade: Reviewer <u>6</u>

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 6
 Juror: Arashy nishi Signature: B.R.

A report should include:

• a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem • an explanation of the observed phenomena • an application of appropriate mathematics • reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate) • linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions • an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

• the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles • the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented • the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

• the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent • the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones) • the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations) • such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three beams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
☒ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☒ Structure
☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☒ Novelty of the report
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: Czech 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☐ Relevance of the questions
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: Australia 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 6
 Juror: CHAINOOT Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Germany
Sina

5

Physics ± 3

1.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

1.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Czech
Petr

5

Physics ± 3

0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Australia
Grace

5

Physics ± 3

1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 3 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 6
 Juror: J. BALCOMBE Signature: JB Bal

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: GERMANY 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 7

Opp.: CZECH REP 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 -0.5

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 4

Rev.: AUSTRALIA 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Q

Round: 4 Room: 3 Fight Assistants: Jana Jutimaosik ; Rojnapa Thararas

Team	Stage 1			Stage 2			Stage 3			Stage 4		
	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev
Juror												
John Balcombe	4	6	6	5	6	6	7	4	6			
Chaimoot Boonyasirirak	6	7	6	6	6	6	5	5	7			
Valiantsin Astashynski	5	6	7	5	5	5	6	5	5			
Chuan Yong Li	4	7	8	6	7	6	7	6	6			
Tatiana Goncharov Stankovics	4	5	7	3	5	6	5	5	6			
Valentin Lobyshev	3	6	7	3	5	5	4	5	7			
Acting Team Member	Daniel Rychly	Elizabeth Cox	Jonas Landgraf	Elizabeth Cox	Ann-Kathrin Raab	Paula Beresova	Sina Jolla Mastung	Peter Sosna	Grace Rahn			
Rejected Problems												
Accepted Problem	9 Artificial muscle			17 Coffee cup			6 Magus Glider					

Signature Fight Assistant: Jana Jutimaosik Double-checked with Scoring Guidelines by second Fight Assistant (signature): Rojnapa Thararas

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): IV Room: 4 Stage: 1 Problem no.: _____
 Juror: OSTERWIK Signature: F. Osterwik

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Korea

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: Iran

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Poland

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 4 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 7
 Juror: Alga Ivisheva Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Korea 5

Physics ± 3 +0.5
☒ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.25
☒ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.25
☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☒ Structure
☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☐ Novelty of the report
☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: Iran 5

Physics ± 3 +0.25
☒ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.25
☒ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5
☒ Response to the reporter's solution
☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☐ Relevance of the questions
☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3 +0.5
☒ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☒ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5
☒ Clear and understandable
☒ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1
☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 4 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 7
 Juror: Nirul Signature: [Signature]

Shaded pole

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: KR 5

Physics ± 3 2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 7

Opp.: IR 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: PO 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 4 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 4.7
 Juror: Zakhar Mavzel Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Korun 5

Physics ± 3 +2

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 3

Opp.: Jlan 5

Physics ± 3 +1.5

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: [Blank] 5

Physics ± 3 +1.5

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 1 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 7
 Juror: Andreas Kotsch Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Korea
Juwan Han 5

Physics ± 3 +0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Iran
Yasamin Masoumi 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: Poland
Anna Wold 5

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 4 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 7
 Juror: Ming Feng Signature: Ming Feng

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Korea

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+0.5

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+0.5

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

+0.5

Final Grade: Reporter

7

Opp.: Iran

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+0.5

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

+0.5

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Poland

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+0.5

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

+0.5

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): II Room: 4 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 4
 Juror: OSTERMEIER Signature: F. Ostermeier

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Iran 5

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 4

Opp.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Korea 5

Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 4 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 4
 Juror: Alga Ivisheva Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Iran

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

-2

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+0.25

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

0

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: Poland

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+2

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+0.5

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

+0.75

Final Grade: Opponent

8

Rev.: KORRA

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

0

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+0.5

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

+0.5

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 4 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 4
 Juror: Niruf Signature: Niruf

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: IR 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: FO 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: KR 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

This sheet will be collected by the flight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 2 Room: 4 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 4
 Juror: Zakhar Waisel Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Iran 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0,5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0,5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

3

Rev.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0,5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 4 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 4

Juror: Andrei Kishon Signature: _____

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Iran
Amolita Abdulloni

5

Physics ± 3

-1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Poland
Radosław Węskiewicz

5

Physics ± 3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Korea
SeBeom Lee

5

Physics ± 3

+0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

-0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 4 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 4

Juror: Ming Feng Signature: Ming Feng

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Iran 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Korea 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): II Room: 4 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: Ostermeier Signature: R. Ostermeier

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Poland

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Korea

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Iran

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

4

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 4 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: Olga Inisheva Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Poland

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

7

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: KORBA

5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

7

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: Iran

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

6

Final Grade: Reviewer

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 4 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: Nirul Signature: Nirul

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: PO 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: KR 5

Physics ± 3 2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: IR 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 4 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: Zakhar Masich Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Roland 5

Physics ± 3 +0.5

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Korea 5

Physics ± 3 +0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Iran 5

Physics ± 3 +0.5

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 4 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: Andreas Kordun Signature: _____

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Poland 5
Frédéric Grabowski
 Physics ± 3 -1.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.5
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0
☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☐ Structure
☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☐ Novelty of the report
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 3

Opp.: Korea 5
Ahn Chang-Eung
 Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5
☐ Response to the reporter's solution
☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☐ Relevance of the questions
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Iran 5
Amir Hossain Ebrahimi
 Physics ± 3 +2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 -1
☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 4 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: Ming Feng Signature: Ming Feng

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Poland 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 4

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Korea 5

Physics ± 3 +0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Zoran 5

Physics ± 3 +0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Q

Round: 4 Room: 4 Fight Assistants: Rom Phingroenphan

Team	Stage 1			Stage 2			Stage 3			Stage 4		
	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev
Juror												
Florian Ostermaier	6	6	7	4	7	7	5	6	4			
Olga Inisheva	6	6	7	3	8	6	7	7	6			
Nirut Pussachee	7	6	6	4	7	6	6	7	6			
Zakhar Malzelis	8	7	7	4	8	6	5	6	6			
Andrei Klishin	5	5	6	4	6	5	3	7	6			
Ming Feng	7	6	7	5	7	6	5	6	5			
Acting Team Member	Jiwan Han	Yasamin M.	Ana Wald.	Anahita Abdollahi	Rados W.	SeBeom Lee.	Grobowski,	Fung	Eloah,			
Rejected Problems	No. 6						16					
Accepted Problem	No. 7			No. 4 Liquid film motor.			9					

Signature Fight Assistant:..... Double-checked with Scoring Guidelines by second Fight Assistant (signature):

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 10

Juror: F. SONG Signature: F. Song

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 10
 Juror: Michael F. Smith Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Austria 5

Physics ± 3 -0.2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.3

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0.2

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Kenya 5

Physics ± 3 -1.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0.1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 4

Rev.: U.K. 5

Physics ± 3 +0.9

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 10
 Juror: Lars Giesen Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: AT 5

Physics ± 3 -0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.7

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 4

Opp.: KE 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 -1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 4

Rev.: UK 5

Physics ± 3 0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 10
 Juror: Zuzana Coulová Signature: Coulová

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Austria 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 7

Opp.: Kenya 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 4

Rev.: UK 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 10

Juror: D. Keller Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem • an explanation of the observed phenomena • an application of appropriate mathematics • reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate) • linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions • an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles • the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented • the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent • the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones) • the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations) • such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Austria 5

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Kenya 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 -1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 3

Rev.: UK 5

Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): II Room: 5 Stage: I Problem no.: 10
 Juror: PAULINA DAGER Signature: Pau.

A report should include:

• a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem • an explanation of the observed phenomena • an application of appropriate mathematics • reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate) • linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions • an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

• the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles • the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented • the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

• the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent • the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones) • the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations) • such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Austria

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
☒ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner clear
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☐ Structure
☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☐ Novelty of the report
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Kenya

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☐ Relevance of the questions
☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

3

Rev.: UK

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 16
 Juror: F. SONG Signature: F. Song

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 16
 Juror: Michael F. Smith Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Kenya 5

Physics ± 3 -2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 3

Opp.: U.K. 5

Physics ± 3 -0.2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.6

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0.8

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Austria 5

Physics ± 3 0.2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0.1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 2 Problem no.: _____
 Juror: LARS GISEN Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: KE 5

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 6

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 3

Opp.: UK 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: AT 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 16
 Juror: Luzana Locatelli Signature: Comins

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Kenya 5

Physics ± 3 -2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 2

Opp.: UK 5

Physics ± 3 2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Austria 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 -1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 16
 Juror: D. Keller Signature: D. Keller

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Kenya 5

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 2

Opp.: UK 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Austria 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): IV Room: 5 Stage: I Problem no.: 16
 Juror: PANOSIOVA JAMAR Signature: PCU

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Konze

5

Physics ± 3

-2

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

-1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

-1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols *nothing*
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions *nothing*
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

1

Opp.: UK

5

Physics ± 3

+1/2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0/0

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

+1/2

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Austria

5

Physics ± 3

+1/2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

+1/2

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

+1/2

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: F. SONG Signature: F. Song

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: UK 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: AU 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: KN 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: Michael Smith Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: U.K. 5

Physics ± 3 -0.2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.4

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0.2

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Austria 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.3

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0.1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: Kenya 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: LARS GISEL Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: UK 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 8

Opp.: A+ 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: KE 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 9
 Juror: Ruzana Peculová Signature: Celine

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: UK 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Austria 5

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: Kenya 5

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 5 Stage: 3 Problem no.: _____
 Juror: D. Keller Signature: D. Keller

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: UK 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Austria 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: Karya 5

Physics ± 3 -0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 -1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 4

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): IV Room: 5 Stage: III Problem no.: 9
 Juror: PANOSIOA DACHAR Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: [Signature] 5

- Physics ± 3 0
- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
 - ☐ Scientific approach
 - ☐ Validity of conclusions
 - ☐ Accurate answers

- Presentation ± 1 0
- ☒ Clear and understandable
 - ☒ Appropriate manner
 - ☒ Overall impression

- Reporter ± 1 0
- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
 - ☒ Structure
 - ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
 - ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
 - ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
 - ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
 - ☐ Novelty of the report
 - ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Anahita 5

- Physics ± 3 0
- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
 - ☐ Scientific approach
 - ☐ Validity of conclusions
 - ☐ Accurate answers

- Presentation ± 1 0
- ☐ Clear and understandable
 - ☒ Appropriate manner
 - ☒ Overall impression

- Opponent ± 1 0
- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
 - ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
 - ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
 - ☐ Relevance of the questions
 - ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Kemja 5

- Physics ± 3 0
- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
 - ☐ Scientific approach
 - ☐ Validity of conclusions
 - ☐ Accurate answers

- Presentation ± 1 -1/2
- ☒ Clear and understandable
 - ☐ Appropriate manner
 - ☐ Overall impression

- Reviewer ± 1 -1/2
- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
 - ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
 - ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
 - ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

4

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Round: 4 Room: 5 Fight Assistants: Wanchalorn Pansawat, Taweesak Chaigakun

Team	Stage 1			Stage 2			Stage 3			Stage 4		
	Austria	Kenya	UK	Kenya	UK	Austria	UK	Austria	Kenya			
Juror	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev
Feng Song	6	5	7	3	6	7	6	5	5			
Michael F. Smith	5	4	6	3	6	6	5	5	5			
Lars Gislén	4	4	6	3	7	7	8	5	5			
Zuzana Coculová	7	4	6	2	7	6	5	5	5			
Daniel Keller	5	3	6	2	6	6	5	5	4			
Dagmar Pansova	4	3	6	1	6	6	5	5	4			
Acting Team Member	Amir Dellali	Sousuke Narabu	Dominic Dootsoy	Xiong Zhuang	Dominic Dootson	Franka Rebecca	Sherry Xu	Seitz Iris	Nathan Antrobus			
Rejected Problems				(12) Thick lens (15) Moving brush								
Accepted Problem	(10) Singing blades of grass			(16) Wet and dark			(9) Hovercraft					

Signature Fight Assistant: Wanchalorn Pansawat Double-checked with Scoring Guidelines by second Fight Assistant (signature): Taweesak

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 17

Jurat: Gideon Sander Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: 5

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.5

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 4

Opp.: 5

Physics ± 3 -

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 -

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 117

Juror: Julian Ronacher Signature: J. Ronacher

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.3

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Sweden 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.2

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0.2

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: New Zealand 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0.3

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): IV Room: _____ Stage: 1 Problem no.: 17

Juror: Alyudtjeu Signature: _____

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: _____ 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: _____ 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: _____ 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 1 Problem no.: _____
 Juror: M.H. Kwan Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Naravit 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Daniel 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Jack 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 17
 Juror: YE YEO Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 4

Opp.: Sweden 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1/2

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +1/2

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: New Zealand 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 12
 Juror: S. Zyland Signature: J. Byrd

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Thailand

5

Physics ± 3

-1

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

-1/2

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Sweden

5

Physics ± 3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: New Zealand

5

Physics ± 3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

+1/2

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 5
 Juror: Golcan Hander Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 4

Rev.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 -

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 5
 Juror: Julian Ronacher Signature: J. Ronacher

A report should include:

• a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem • an explanation of the observed phenomena • an application of appropriate mathematics • reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate) • linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions • an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

• the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles • the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented • the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

• the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent • the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones) • the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations) • such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Sweden 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
☒ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0,2

- ☒ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☒ Structure
☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☐ Novelty of the report
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: New Zealand 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☒ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☒ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0,2

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☐ Relevance of the questions
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☒ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): IV Room: 6 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 5
 Juror: Myuldyer Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 5
 Junor: M.H. Kwon Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Hexander 5

Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: Jack 5

Physics ± 3 +2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 8

Rev.: Wouter phat 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 5
 Juror: YE YEO Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Sweden
Alexander 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 4

Opp.: New Zealand
Jack 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Thailand
Thailand 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 5
 Juror: S. Byland Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Sweden 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 $+1/2$

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: New Zealand 5

Physics ± 3 $1/2$

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 $+1/2$

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 $+1/2$

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 3 Problem no.: _____
 Juror: Golera Sanders Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: _____ 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: _____ 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: _____ 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 4

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 12
 Juror: Julien Ronacher Signature: J. Ronacher

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: New Zealand 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0.3

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3 1.5

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0.5

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Sweden 5

Physics ± 3 0.7

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0.5

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): IV Room: 6 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 12
 Juror: Akyildiz Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 4

Opp.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 4

Rev.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stages: 3 Problem no.: 12
 Juror: M.H. Kwon Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Tess 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Piam 5

Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Ivar 5

Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 12
 Juror: YF YEO Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: New Zealand
Tara 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: Thailand
Pam 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Sweden
Ivar 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 6 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 12

Juror: S. Byland Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: New Zealand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +1/2

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1/2

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +1/2

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Sweden 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1/2

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Round: 4 Room: 6 Fight Assistants:

Team	Stage 1			Stage 2			Stage 3			Stage 4		
	Thailand	Sweden	New Zealand	Sweden	New Zealand	Thailand	New Zealand	Thailand	Sweden	Rep	Opp	Rev
Juror	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev
Sandu Mirel Golcea	4	5	6	6	4	5	5	6	4			
Julian Romacher	5	6	6	5	5	5	6	7	6			
Assen Kyuldjiev	4	6	6	5	6	6	4	4	5			
Myeung Hoi Khon	5	7	6	6	8	6	5	6	7			
Ye Yeo	4	6	7	4	7	6	6	6	7			
Samuel Byland	4	6	7	6	7	5	5	6	6			
Acting Team Member	Narawich Wong	Daniel	Jack	Alexander	Jack	Nontapat	Tess	Pian	Ivar			
Rejected Problems	2						7, 10					
Accepted Problem	17			5			12					

Signature Fight Assistant: Simone Double-checked with Scoring Guidelines by second Fight Assistant (signature): Jimena

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 17
 Juror: Ken Freeman Signature: 

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Romania 5

Physics ± 3 +2.3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 3

Opp.: Slovakia 5

Physics ± 3 0.5

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 -1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 5

Rev.: China 5

Physics ± 3 +0.6

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 17

Juror: O'NEILL Signature: PJO Hall

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: ROMANIA 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: SLOVAKIA 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: CHINA 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 17
 Juror: Prof. Kalam Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 4

Opp.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): IV Room: 7 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 17
 Juror: Timoshechenko Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Romania 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Slovakia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: China 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 17

Juror: Himmler, Niki Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Lena 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: Loeb 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 9

Rev.: Anna 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 8

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 17
 Juror: Tan Jing Signature: Tan Jing

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Δimi-tos
mitich 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1 -0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Miroslav
Gošparec 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1 -0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

More focus on statements of expt teachers with other ideas

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Wang
Jingxian 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1 +1


- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 11
 Juror: Kim Freimann Signature: 

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Slovakia 5

Physics ±3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1 0,5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1 0,7

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

9

Opp.: China 5

Physics ±3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1 0,3

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1 0,5

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

8

Rev.: Romania 5

Physics ±3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1 0,5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1 0,3

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 11

Juror: O'NEILL Signature: P. O'Neill

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: SLOVAKIA

5

Physics ± 3

0.5

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: CHINA

5

Physics ± 3

0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

0.5

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: ROMANIA

5

Physics ± 3

0

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 6
 Juror: Shwet Kalsurua Signature: anurag

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): IV Room: 7 Stage: 2 Problem no. 11
 Juror: Pimodchenko Signature: _____

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Slovakia 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: China 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Romania 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 11

Juror: H. KOSTREI, M. HALY Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: SCOTLAND

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

8

Opp.: CHINA

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: ROMANIA

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 11
 Juror: Tan Juy Signature: Tan Juy

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Solomon

5

Physics ± 3

+0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

+0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: Chung

5

Physics ± 3

1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

+0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

+0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

only mention the strong points
 good point but not by more discussion
 relevant to 2 presentation
 & qualitative contribution

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Ramona

5

Physics ± 3

+0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

+0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

+1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent


mentioned at group as critical which is not discussed

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 6
 Juror: Kim Freimann Signature: 

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: China 5

Physics ± 3

- 1/5
- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
 - ☐ Scientific approach
 - ☐ Validity of conclusions
 - ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- 0
- ☐ Clear and understandable
 - ☐ Appropriate manner
 - ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- 0,5
- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
 - ☐ Structure
 - ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
 - ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
 - ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
 - ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
 - ☐ Novelty of the report
 - ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: Romania 5

Physics ± 3

- 1/1
- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
 - ☐ Scientific approach
 - ☐ Validity of conclusions
 - ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- 0,4
- ☒ Clear and understandable
 - ☐ Appropriate manner
 - ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- 0,2
- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
 - ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
 - ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
 - ☐ Relevance of the questions
 - ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: Spain 5

Physics ± 3

- 12
- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
 - ☐ Scientific approach
 - ☐ Validity of conclusions
 - ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- 0,5
- ☒ Clear and understandable
 - ☐ Appropriate manner
 - ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- 0,5
- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
 - ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
 - ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
 - ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 6

Juror: O'NEILL Signature: A. O'Neill

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 7

Opp.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 8

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 6
 Junor: Preet Kalsawa Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 8

Opp.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): IV Room: 7 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 6
 Juror: Timoshchenko Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: China 5

Physics ± 3 1.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 8

Opp.: Romania 5

Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Slovakia 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 6
 Juror: ASHOT, NIKOL Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: CHINA

5

Physics ±3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

+1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

+1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

8

Opp.: ROMANIA

5

Physics ±3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

+0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

+1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

8

Rev.: SLOVAKIA

5

Physics ±3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

+1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

+1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 7 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 6
 Juror: Ken Jey Signature: Ken Jey

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Chinn
Lee Kehon 5

Physics ± 3 -12

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 9

Opp.: Romana
Harin Manjiv 5

Physics ± 3 +25

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 9

Rev.: Soukha
Minoshu Gurbur 5

Physics ± 3 1.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 8

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Q

Round: 4 Room: 7 Fight Assistants: Kanyang Suponok

Team	Stage 1			Stage 2			Stage 3			Stage 4		
	Romania	Slovakia	China	Slovakia	China	Romania	China	Romania	Slovakia	Rep	Opp	Rev
Juror	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev
Kim Freimann (chair)	3	5	5	9	8	7	7	7	8			
Philip O'Neill	3	5	7	6	6	6	7	7	8			
Pruet Kalasunan	4	7	6	8	6	6	8	6	7			
Igor Timoshchenko	4	6	6	6	7	6	8	7	6			
Mihály Homöstre	6	9	8	8	7	6	8	8	8			
Joy Tan	4	5	8	6	7	7	9	9	8			
Acting Team Member	Dimitrie Mittelu	Miroslav Gasperek	Jingxian Wang	Adam Urban	Kehan Lu	Anca Goldis	Kehan Lu	Horia Magureanu	Miroslav Gasperek			
Rejected Problems	7. Shaded Pole 15. Moving Brush			-			-					
Accepted Problem	17. Coffee Cup			11. Cat's Whisker			6. Magnus Glider					

Signature Fight Assistant: Double-checked with Scoring Guidelines by second Fight Assistant (signature):



Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 12
 Juror: Kathryn Zealard Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Brazil 5

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

needed to discuss physics of so-thing
 Presentation ± 1 +1/2

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1/2

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s) *uncontrolled*
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 4

Opp.: Kenya 5

Physics ± 3 -1 1/2

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner *be careful of aggression*
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +1/2

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

*more summary of report rather than critique
 excellent pick up of uncontrolled experiments, could have pushed more*

Final Grade: Opponent 4

Rev.: Ukraine 5

Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1/2

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1/2

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion *not summary*
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 12
 Juror: Kent Hagar Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Brazil 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

*Geometric optics
no energy*

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Nigeria 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Ukraine 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 12

Juror: S. Linkumnerd Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Brazil 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: Nigeria 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: Ukraine 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 12
 Juror: Norvan Kundhungan Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Brazil

5

Physics ± 3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

+1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

7

Opp.: Nigeria

5

Physics ± 3

0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Ukraine

5

Physics ± 3

0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 12
 Juror: STANISLAV PAPOS Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: BRAZIL 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.5

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 4

Opp.: NIGERIA 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: UKRAINE 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 1 Problem no.: #12
 Juror: Hung-Chih Kam Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: BR 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☒ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: NG 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: UK 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 5
 Juror: Kerthyn Zealand Signature: Kerthyn

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Nigeria

5

Physics ± 3

-2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

-1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

2

Opp.: Ukraine

5

Physics ± 3

-1 1/2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

-1 1/2

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

• need to mention own opinion short!!

Final Grade: Opponent

3

Rev.: Brazil

5

Physics ± 3

+2 1/2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

+1 1/2

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 5
 Juror: Kent Hagan Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Nigeria 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 2

Opp.: Ukraine 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Brazil 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1/2

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 8

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 5
 Juror: S. Lindermeier Signature: Stef. Gier

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Nigeria 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: Ukraine 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 -1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Brazil 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 5
 Juror: Narayan Kuchibhotla Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Nigeria

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

-1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

-0.5

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

-0.5

Final Grade: Reporter

3

Opp.: Ukraine

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+0.5

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

+0.5

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Brazil

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+1

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

+1

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 5
 Juror: STANISLAW PAWLO Signature: Pawlo

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: NIGERIA 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 3

Opp.: UKRAINE 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: BRAZIL 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 5
 Juror: Hung-Chih Kuo Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: NG 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
☒ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
☒ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☐ Structure
☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☐ Novelty of the report
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: UK 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☐ Relevance of the questions
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: BR 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 10
 Juror: Kat Zealand Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Ukraine 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1/2

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +1/2

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols *nice*
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others *good on-site references*
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 7.5 8

Opp.: Brazil 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +1/2

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6.5 7

Rev.: Nigeria 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1/2

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion *summary*
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

misrepresented the report

Final Grade: Reviewer 3.5 4

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 10
 Juror: Kent Hagen Signature: Kent Hagen

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Ukraine

5

Pavel Nazarov

Physics ± 3

+2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

+1/2

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

-1/2

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

7

Opp.: Brazil

5

Thiago Kalife

Physics ± 3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

+1/2

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

+1/2

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Nigeria

5

Ahmad Abdulrahman

Physics ± 3

-1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

-1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

3

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 10
 Juror: S. Linkummed Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Bersine 5

Physics ± 3 2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 0

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 7

Opp.: Brazil 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Nigeria 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 10
 Juror: Narayan Kumar Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Ukraine

5

Physics ± 3

+0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

+0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: Brazil

5

Physics ± 3

+1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Nigeria

5

Physics ± 3

-0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

-0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

4

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 10
 Juror: STANISLAV PAVOS Signature: Pavos

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: UKRAINE

5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: BRAZIL

5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: NIGERIA

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

4

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 8 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 10
 Juror: Hung-Chih Kan Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: UK 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -0.5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.5

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: BR 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

1

Rev.: NG 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Round: 4 Room: 8 Fight Assistants: Sanchai & Jadsada

Team	Stage 1			Stage 2			Stage 3			Stage 4		
	Brazil	Nigeria	Ukraine	Nigeria	Ukraine	Brazil	Ukraine	Brazil	Nigeria	Rep	Opp	Rev
Juror	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev
Kathryn Zealand (air)	4	4	7	2	3	8	8	7	4			
Kent Hogan	5	5	8	2	6	8	7	7	3			
Surachate Limkumnerd	5	4	7	3	5	7	7	7	5			
Narasara Kundhikanjana	7	5	5	3	6	8	6	6	4			
Stanislav Pinos	4	6	6	3	6	5	4	7	4			
Hung-Chih Kuo	3	5	5	4	5	6	4	7	5			
Acting Team Member	Diego de Moya	Rebecca Banjo	Melnyk Eugene	Abdulsamad Abdulsalam	Nikita Rogovoy	Thiago Bergamashi-BR	Nazar Puovar	Thiago Kalife	Ahmad Abdulohi			
Rejected Problems				(17) (15) (3)			(2) (7)					
Accepted Problem	(12)			(8)			(10)					

Signature Fight Assistant: Sanchai Double-checked with Scoring Guidelines by second Fight Assistant (signature): Jadsada

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 14
 Juror: ALAN ALLISON Signature: Alan Allison

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Alex (BUL) 5

Physics ± 3 -

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +1/2

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 6

Opp.: Stefan (RUS) 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1/2

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Samuel (ITA) 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 1/2

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: F9 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 14
 Juror: Holmes Darnold Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Bulgaria 5

Physics ± 3 +0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.3

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.2

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3 +0.6

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.3

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.3

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Taiwan 5

Physics ± 3 +0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.3

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.4

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 14
 Juror: Kylin V. Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Blz
Alex Ivanov 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☒ Structure
☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☐ Novelty of the report
☒ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: Russia
Stepan Zakharov 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
☒ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☐ Relevance of the questions
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Turn
Samuel Kan 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 14
 Juror: Oina Joadi Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Bulgaria

5

Alexander Ivanov

Physics ± 3

0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

+0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

-0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Opp.: Russia

5

Stepan Zakharov

Physics ± 3

1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Rev.: Taiwan

5

Samuel Kan

Physics ± 3

0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

0

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 14
 Juror: Kerry Parker Signature: Kerry Parker

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Alexander
Bulgaria 5

Physics ± 3 -1
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach very poor
☐ Validity of conclusions x physics
☐ Accurate answers x uncertainty

Presentation ± 1 +0.5
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.5
☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☒ Structure
☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☐ Novelty of the report
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Stepan
Zakharov
Russia 5

Physics ± 3 —
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☒ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 —
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 —
☐ Response to the reporter's solution
☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report some
☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☐ Relevance of the questions
☐ Contribution to the discussion was missed

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Samuel Kan
Taiwan 5

Physics ± 3 —
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 —
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 —
☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: 1 Problem no.: 14
 Juror: Burin Signature: Burin

Circle #1/13/18

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Alexander

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

7

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: Stephan

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

5

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: Samuel

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

6

Final Grade: Reviewer

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 6
 Juror: Alan Allinson Signature: Alan Allinson

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Russia
Stepan Zakharov 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach Bernoulli
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1/2

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s) Simulation - wind tunnel
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions fitting!
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Taiwan
Yin-Chieh Cheng 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 1/2

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

contradiction

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Bulgaria
Milko Benkov 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 1/2

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: F9 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 6
 Juror: Helmut Dierckx Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Russia 5

Physics ± 3 +0.5

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 7

Opp.: Taiwan 5

Physics ± 3 +0.8

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.4

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 +0.8

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Bulgaria 5

Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +0.5

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: II Problem no.: 6
 Juror: Kulinskyi V. Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Russia
Zakharov S. 5

Physics ± 3 1,5

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 3/4

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 8

Opp.: Taiwan
Yin-Chieh Chang 5

Physics ± 3 1

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0,5

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0,5

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Bulgaria
Mitko Benkov 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 8

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 6

Juror: Dina Izadi Signature: A. Jandip

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Russian

Stepan Zakharov

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

0

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: Taiwan

Yin-Chieh Chou

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

0

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

0

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Bulgaria

Mitko Benkov

5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0.5

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

0.5

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 6
 Juror: Kerry Parker Signature: Kerry Parker

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Stepan Zakharov
Russia 5

Physics ± 3

- ? ☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- +1
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- +1
☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
☐ Structure
☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
☐ Novelty of the report
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

7

Opp.: Chieh Cheng
Taiwan 5

Physics ± 3

- +1
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- +1
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- +1
☐ Response to the reporter's solution
☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
☐ Relevance of the questions
☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

8

Rev.: Miko Benkov
Bulgaria 5

Physics ± 3

- +1
☐ Correct and relevant physics
☐ Scientific approach
☐ Validity of conclusions
☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- +1
☐ Clear and understandable
☐ Appropriate manner
☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- +1
☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

78

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: 2 Problem no.: 6
 Juror: Burja Signature: Burja

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Stepan
Zakharov 5

Physics ± 3 -2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 3

Opp.: Yun-Chieh
Cheng 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Mitko
Benkov 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 6

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 3
 Juror: A. ALLISON Signature: Al Allison

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Hao Yu ku
TAIWAN 5

Physics ± 3 -1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions *misses!*
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -1/2

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s) *flawed*
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion *1/2*

Final Grade: Reporter 4

Opp.: Simeon Stefanov
BULGARIA 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 -1/2

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner *NOT LISTENING TO ANSWERS!*
- ☐ Overall impression *INTERESTING!*

Opponent ± 1 +1/2

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

unclear - not talking formation of muscle + protein

Final Grade: Opponent 4

Rev.: Vitali Matyunin
RUSSIA 5

Physics ± 3 +1/2

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 +1/2

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: F9 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 3

Juror: Helmuth Dürr Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Taiwan 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1 +0.2

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

6

Opp.: Bulgaria 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1 -0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1 +0.0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: Russia 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1 +0.5

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1 +1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: 4 Problem no.: _____
 Juror: Kulinsky V. Signature: _____

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Taiwan
Kao Yu Ku

5
 ±1

- Physics ±3
- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
 - ☐ Scientific approach
 - ☐ Validity of conclusions
 - ☐ Accurate answers

- Presentation ±1
- ☐ Clear and understandable
 - ☒ Appropriate manner
 - ☐ Overall impression

- Reporter ±1
- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
 - ☐ Structure
 - ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols
 - ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
 - ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
 - ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
 - ☐ Novelty of the report
 - ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 5

Opp.: Bulgaria
Sim Stefanov

5
 1

- Physics ±3
- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
 - ☐ Scientific approach
 - ☒ Validity of conclusions
 - ☐ Accurate answers

- Presentation ±1
- ☒ Clear and understandable
 - ☐ Appropriate manner
 - ☐ Overall impression

- Opponent ±1
- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
 - ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
 - ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
 - ☐ Relevance of the questions
 - ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 7

Rev.: Russia
Vitaly Matveev

5
 1

- Physics ±3
- ☒ Correct and relevant physics
 - ☐ Scientific approach
 - ☐ Validity of conclusions
 - ☐ Accurate answers

- Presentation ±1
- ☒ Clear and understandable
 - ☐ Appropriate manner
 - ☐ Overall impression

- Reviewer ±1
- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
 - ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
 - ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
 - ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 3

Juror: Dina Ixadi Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Taiwan 5

Hao Yu Ku -0.5

Physics ± 3 -0.5

☐ Correct and relevant physics

☐ Scientific approach

☐ Validity of conclusions

☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

☐ Clear and understandable

☐ Appropriate manner

☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 -0.5

☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)

☐ Structure

☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols

☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions

☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate

☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others

☐ Novelty of the report

☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter 4

Opp.: Bulgaria 5

Simeon Stefanov 1

Physics ± 3 1

☐ Correct and relevant physics

☐ Scientific approach

☐ Validity of conclusions

☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

☐ Clear and understandable

☐ Appropriate manner

☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

☐ Response to the reporter's solution

☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report

☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report

☐ Relevance of the questions

☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent 6

Rev.: Russia 5

Vitalii Matemin 1

Physics ± 3 1

☐ Correct and relevant physics

☐ Scientific approach

☐ Validity of conclusions

☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0.5

☐ Clear and understandable

☐ Appropriate manner

☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 0.5

☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion

☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed

☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent

☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

Final Grade: Reviewer 7

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades - please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 3

Juror: Kerry Parker Signature: Ken [Signature]

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing "answers" when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Kao Yu Ku
Taiwan

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

4

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: Simeon Stefanov
Bulgaria

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

5

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: Vitali Melunin
Russia

5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

6

Final Grade: Reviewer

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 4 Room: 9 Stage: 3 Problem no.: 3
 Juror: Burin Signature: Burin

A report should include:

- a presentation of the appropriate concepts, theories and principles of the problem
- an explanation of the observed phenomena
- an application of appropriate mathematics
- reasonable experimental technique to gather and record data (or demonstrate the phenomena if appropriate)
- linking of theoretical and experimental findings to draw suitable conclusions
- an attempt to communicate difficult or complex ideas in an effective and understandable manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent challenged the reporter's understanding of the presented concepts, theories and principles
- the opponent understood the appropriate mathematics presented
- the opponent critiqued the experimental technique used and questioned the validity of the data
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an objective summary of the performances the reporter and opponent
- the reviewer appreciated the important aspects (especially the controversial ones)
- the reviewer's personal opinion was in evidence (not just superficial observations)
- such personal opinions were informative, non-trivial and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for physics, presentation and for their specific role.
- The reporter, opponent and reviewer each start with 5 points.
- Consider the following suggestions to add to or deduct from the initial 5 points.
- Select each partial grade from each section and write it in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Hao Yu Ku 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Simon Stefanov 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Vitali Matunin 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Round: 4 Room: 9 Fight Assistants:

Team	Stage 1			Stage 2			Stage 3			Stage 4		
	Bulgaria	Russia	Taiwan	Russia	Taiwan	Bulgaria	Taiwan	Bulgaria	Russia	Rep	Opp	Rev
Juror	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev	Rep	Opp	Rev
Alan Allinson (chair)	6	7	7	5	6	7	4	4	7			
Helmut Dürrast	6	6	6	7	7	7	6	5	7			
Volodymyr Kulinskyi	6	7	8	8	7	8	5	7	7			
Dina Izadi	5	6	6	6	5	6	4	6	7			
Kerry Parker	4	5	5	7	8	8	4	5	6			
Boris Asavapibhop	7	5	6	3	6	6	5	6	6			
Acting Team Member	Aleksandar Ivanov	Stepan Zakharon	Samuel Kan	Stepan Zakharon	Yin-Chieh Chen	Mitko Benkov	Hao Yu Ku	Simeon Stefanov	Vitalii Matyunin			
Rejected Problems	1			1			1					
Accepted Problem	14			6			3					

Signature Fight Assistant: Double-checked with Scoring Guidelines by second Fight Assistant (signature):