

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 1 Problem no.: 16
 Juror: G. JENNINGS Signature: G. Jennings

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Germany 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

8

Opp.: Thailand 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: France 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 1 Problem no.: 16

Juror: Chen Dany Park Signature: Chen Dany Park

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach +1.0
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression +1.0

Reporter ± 1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s) +0.5
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols +0.3
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others +0.4
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

8

Opp.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics +0.5
- ☐ Scientific approach +0.5
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report +0.5
- ☒ Relevance of the questions +0.5
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: France 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics +0.5
- ☐ Scientific approach +0.6
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☒ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression +1.0

Reviewer ± 1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion +0.3
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed +0.2
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

7

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 1 Problem no.: 16
 Juror: FRANCIS EKWENTA Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

8

Opp.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: France 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 1 Problem no.: 16

Juror: Hana Nassar Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: _____ 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

7

Opp.: _____ 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: _____ 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 1 Problem no.: 16

Juror: Ilya MARTCHENKO Signature: _____

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

7

Opp.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

5

Rev.: France 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 1 Problem no.: 16

Juror: A. NADOLNY Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable experimental technique to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Germany 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Opp.: Thailand 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Rev.: France 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reporter

7

Final Grade: Opponent

4

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 2 Problem no.: 11
 Juror: G. JENNINGS Signature: G. C. Jennings

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: France 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 2 Problem no.: 11

Juror: Chan Ony Park Signature: Chan Ony Park

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics theory -1.5
- ☐ Scientific approach experimental +0.5
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable +0.3
- ☒ Appropriate manner +0.2
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☒ Structure -0.2
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols -0.1
- ☒ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions -0.2
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: France 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics Physics not at -0.5
- ☒ Scientific approach +0.5
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics +0.5
- ☒ Scientific approach +0.5
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable +0.5
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☒ Overall impression +0.5

Reviewer ± 1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☒ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 2 Problem no.: 11

Juror: FRANCIS EKWENTA Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

-3

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+1

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

+1

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: France 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

0

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

+1

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+1

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

+1

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 2 Problem no.: 1
 Juror: Hana Namani Signature: Zellah

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application of appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather and record data** (or **demonstrate the phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: _____ 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☒ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☒ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☒ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

Opp.: _____ 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

Rev.: _____ 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 2 Problem no.: 11

Juror: Rya MARCHEVA Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: France 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

8

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 2 Problem no.: 11

Juror: A. NADOLNY Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: _____ 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+0,5

Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

+0,5

Final Grade: Reporter

7

Opp.: _____ 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+1

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+0,5

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

+0,5

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: _____ 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

+2

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

+1

Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

+1

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

9

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 3 Problem no.: 2
 Juror: G. JENNINGS Signature: G. C. Jennings

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application of appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable experimental technique to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: France 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Germany 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Thailand 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

6

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 3 Problem no.: 2

Juror: Chan Chung Park Signature: Chan Chung Park

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable experimental technique to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: France 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☒ Scientific approach *theory +0.5 experiment -0.5*
- ☒ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☒ Quality of experimental technique(s) *-0.5*
- ☐ Structure
- ☒ Explanation of formulae and symbols *+0.5*
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4

Opp.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics *theory +0.5 experiment +0.5*
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☒ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☒ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☒ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☒ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☒ Correct and relevant physics *theory +0.5 experiment +0.5*
- ☒ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☒ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☒ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion *-0.5*
- ☒ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed *+0.5*
- ☒ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent *-0.5*
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 3 Problem no.: 2

Juror: FRANCIS LUNDA Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable experimental technique to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: France 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

5

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 3 Problem no.: 2
 Juror: Ilana Namorok Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding** of **concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: _____ 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ±1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

4.5

Opp.: _____ 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ±1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

3

Rev.: _____ 5

Physics ±3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ±1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ±1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please **do not bend**

Final Grade: Reviewer

3

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 3 Problem no.: 2

Juror: Ry. MARICUEIRO Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem
- an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena**
- an **application** of **appropriate mathematics**
- reasonable **experimental technique** to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate)
- linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions**
- an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles**
- the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented
- the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data**
- the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent**
- the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones)
- the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**)
- such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: France 5

Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1 +1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

7

Opp.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3 +1

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 +1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1 0

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

7

Rev.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3 0

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1 0

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1 -1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

4

Scoring Guidelines

Fight (Round no.): 3 Room: C Stage: 3 Problem no.: 2

Juror: A. NADOLNY Signature: [Signature]

A report should include:

- a **presentation** of the appropriate **concepts, theories and principles** of the problem • an **explanation** of the **observed phenomena** • an **application** of **appropriate mathematics** • reasonable experimental technique to **gather** and **record data** (or **demonstrate** the **phenomena** if appropriate) • linking of **theoretical** and **experimental findings** to draw **suitable conclusions** • an attempt to communicate **difficult** or **complex** ideas in an **effective** and **understandable** manner

An opposition should show that:

- the opponent **challenged** the **reporter's understanding** of the presented **concepts, theories and principles** • the opponent understood the **appropriate mathematics** presented • the opponent **critiqued** the **experimental technique** used and questioned the **validity** of the **data** • the opponent appreciated and highlighted the **strengths and weaknesses** of the report

A review should show that:

- the reviewer succeeded in giving an **objective summary** of the performances the **reporter and opponent** • the reviewer appreciated the **important aspects** (especially the **controversial** ones) • the reviewer's **personal opinion** was in evidence (**not just superficial observations**) • such personal opinions were **informative, non-trivial** and demonstrated, where possible, the reviewer's **understanding of concepts, theories, principles and appropriate mathematics** used by the reporter and the opponent

- The grade for each of the three teams consists of a partial grade for **physics, presentation** and for their **specific role**.
- The **reporter, opponent** and **reviewer** each **start with 5 points**.
- Consider the following **suggestions** to **add** to or **deduct** from the initial **5 points**.
- Select each partial grade from each section and **write it** in the corresponding box.
- Don't punish missing 'answers' when no questions were posed or not finding shortcomings if there were none to find.

Rep.: France 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reporter ± 1

- ☐ Quality of experimental technique(s)
- ☐ Structure
- ☐ Explanation of formulae and symbols
- ☐ Appropriate models, conformity of dimensions
- ☐ Slides, on-site experiments, audio, video, as appropriate
- ☐ References, proper citations of ideas and input of others
- ☐ Novelty of the report
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Reporter

5

Opp.: Germany 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Opponent ± 1

- ☐ Response to the reporter's solution
- ☐ Shows the strong and weak points of the report
- ☐ Finds shortcomings or errors in the report
- ☐ Relevance of the questions
- ☐ Contribution to the discussion

Final Grade: Opponent

6

Rev.: Thailand 5

Physics ± 3

- ☐ Correct and relevant physics
- ☐ Scientific approach
- ☐ Validity of conclusions
- ☐ Accurate answers

Presentation ± 1

- ☐ Clear and understandable
- ☐ Appropriate manner
- ☐ Overall impression

Reviewer ± 1

- ☐ Provides a thorough review of report and discussion
- ☐ Expresses own opinion about topics presented or discussed
- ☐ Relevance of questions to both the reporter and the opponent
- ☐ Draws attention to points missed by the reporter or the opponent

This sheet will be collected by the fight assistant, so we can scan it and archive your partial grades – please
do not bend

Final Grade: Reviewer

5